BDCP RDEIR/SDEIS Review Document Comment Form

Document: <u>Administrative Draft—Chapter 11 – Fish 10</u>

Comment Source: NMFS Submittal Date: April 15, 2015

No.	Page	Line #	Comment	ICF Response
1	1-2	26-04	Just because Alt 3 has the greatest proportional	
			reduction in outflow to the Bay, that does not set	
			the standard as to what to compare biological	
			impacts to. How fish actually respond to differing	
			hydrology in the Delta is more meaningful than this	
			math equation. Here are some references to	
			studies that show the importance of Delta outflow	
			to anadromous fish; (Hatton 1940, Healey 1991,	
			Williams 2006, Kjelson 1982, Fish 2010, Gingras et	
			al 2013, Kohlhurst 1991, Brandes and McLain	
			(2001); Brandes <i>et al.</i> (2006); Dekar <i>et al.</i> (2013),	
			Miller 2010, Stevens & Miller 1983, Jassby et al.	
			1995; Sommer et al. 1997; Kimmerer 2002a,b;	
			Newman 2003; Mac Nally et al. 2010; Thompson et	
			al. 2010etc). Please incorporate the information	
			in these reports liberally into your analysis of the effects of the action related to Delta hydrology and	
			outflow.	
			outnow.	
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
9				
10				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		_
40		